

'The 21 Gun Salute' a system.

Welcome, **Guest**.
Please login or register.

[Home](#)[Calendar](#)[Search](#)[Register](#)[Login](#)

Forum Login

Login Name:

[Create a new account](#)

Password:

[Forgot password](#)



Roulette Forum | [www.RouletteForum.net](#) > [Main Message Boards](#) > [Roulette Forum | Free Roulette Systems, Tips and Strategies](#) > **'The 21 Gun Salute' a system.**

Moderators: [Administrator Group](#)

Users Browsing Forum

Baidu Spider, Googlebot, Yahoo! Bot and 23 Guests

'The 21 Gun Salute' a system.

This thread currently has **4,149** views.



2 Pages [1](#) [2](#) [»](#) [All](#)

[Recommend Thread](#)



Bombus

June 23, 2009, 5:26pm



I put this up on VLS, so I thought I might as well wack it up here too.

'The 21 Gun Salute' by bombus

Single zero wheel.

The easiest money is
the hardest to get
Administrator Group



Posts: 1,314

Track the results until 3 unique numbers (anchors) come out that are spaced around the wheel so that the 3 numbers either side of the 3 anchor numbers do not overlap at all.

When this occurs, you bet on the 21 numbers included in the 3 created wheel sections of 7 numbers each.

Each 7 numbers consists of the anchor number plus the 3 numbers either side.

While tracking for the 3 anchor numbers, as each new number comes out check to see if there is any overlap (closer than 6 numbers together on the wheel) with the previous number out. If there is, then you restart to track for your 3 anchor numbers from that last number out.

Any repeater is also treated as an overlap, and so wipes out the previous 2 numbers out.

While tracking for the 3 anchor numbers, as each new number comes out, check to see if there is any overlap (closer than 6 numbers together on the wheel) with the previous number out. If there isn't, then check to see if there is any overlap (closer than 6 numbers together on the wheel) with the 2nd previous number out. If there is, then you restart to track for your 3 anchor numbers from the last 2 numbers out.

Continue like this until the last 3 numbers out are spaced around the wheel with no overlap between each of the 3 x 7 sections. They can butt up, but not overlap.

After any betting opportunity is finished, win or lose, start a fresh tracking sequence from the next number out.

Each bet is on 21 numbers a total of 4 times.

Progression is: 1 – 2 – 6 – 18 – stop

 **Logged** **Offline**

Roulette System That Works

www.roulettephysics.com

The system that forces casinos to change the rules

Electronic Cheating Devices

www.roulette-computers.com

Hidden computers that predict where the ball will land.



Bombus

June 23, 2009, 5:27pm



report



Here is just over 200 spins from random.org.

The easiest money is
the hardest to get

Administrator Group

P



Posts: 1,314

27
1
0
1
35
11
17 L
12 W
┐_
2
12
27
28
10
14 L
30 W
┐_
14
13
34
19
27
13
4
26
8

34
25 W
—
19
25
15
6
21
9
19
23
1 L
14 W
—
29
23
22
2
28 L
30 W
—
20
18
13
0
30 W
—
3
12
22
19
13
35 L
7 W
—
4
10
4
27
7 L
32 W
—
23
16
8
0
20
22 L
33 W
—
19
6
34
7
22
33
28
17

7 W

—

20

6

32

1 W

—

34

14

33

18

18

22

17

6

15

30

28

10 W

—

32

3

36

19

18

36 W

—

23

6

10

11

27

17

13

0

27

3

20

17 W

—

3

7

25

29

21

35

11

23 W

—

18

20

33

3

28

14

3

1

7

0
1
14
9
5
11
34
30
3
34
11
20
8
22
1
34
30
3
6
8
9
8
33
9
8
28
17
18 W
—
12
26
17
17
33
29
8 L
19 L
5 W
—
11
21
21
15
36
26
8
28
15
18 W
—
12
32
18
33
23 L
7 W
—
15

22
10
29 W
—
0
35
12
17
22
10
2 W
—
15
20
9
22
8
28
27
32
12
28
21
22
18
5
30
31
26
27 L
11 W

Session profit = +288 units.

 **Logged** **Offline**

Reply: 1 - 15



Bombus

June 23, 2009, 5:28pm



Here are some more trial results:

Random.org again.

The easiest money is
the hardest to get

Administrator Grou

P



Posts: 1,314

19
7
26
8
15
28
14 L
3 W
—
7
15
22
1

31
5
5
3
16
2
23 L
5 W
—
28
22
15
24
26 W
—
9
32
36
21 L
11 W
—
2
32
26
2
9
35 W
—
8
27
1
34
17
22
21
21
5
15
12
15
3
27
17
10
7
5 W
—
7
11
13
27
30
2
4
5
11
4
3

18
4
25
36
28
8
21
26 L
12 W
—
7
14
26
30
0 W
—
36
26
7
18
8
18
4
25 W
—
31
9
21
34
35
27
14
4 L
26 W
—
28
21
31
31
20
24
11
12
22
9
22
19
3
29
5
24
22
26
18 W
—
23
32
24

15
28
4 W
—
8
0
8
9
25 L
15 W
—
10
23
35
12
6
34
9
12
0
8
9
32 W
—
33
16
11
33
19
2 W
—
13
30
26
28
2
35
17
33
24 W
—
16
6
18
27 W
—
12
36
12
8
23
23
20
30
4
14 W
—
30

19
23
3
29
13
27
28
27
24
12 W

—
9
14
11
27
8
3
7
32
11
10 L
9 L
34 L
22 W

—
36
11
3
18
1
36
18
7
19
36
11 W

—
13
30
16
21
11
0 L
36 W

—
32
36
31
21 L
11 W

—
21
28
31
27
2
16
36

13 W

—

14

8

30

18

9

7

9

16

7

11

21 L

0 L

21 L

24 W

—

19

24

8

6

12

29

0

23

3 W

—

9

32

21

36

29

34 L

28 W

—

20

22

36

1

21

6 W

—

11

34

16

6

20

32

28 L

25 W

—

29

29

1

32

10 L

16 W

—

35
23
30
20
19
22 L
0 W
—
26
28
19
9
2
22
24
11 L
26 L
29 W

Session profit = +552 units.

Pushed to 4th stage of progression twice.

 **Logged** **Offline**

Reply: 2 - 15



Bombus

June 23, 2009, 5:29pm



The easiest money is
the hardest to get

Administrator Group

P



Posts: 1,314

 **Logged** **Offline**

Reply: 3 - 15



Bombus

June 23, 2009, 5:41pm



The easiest money is
the hardest to get

Administrator Group

Above are albeit two very short test samples, using an up as you lose progression.

Interestingly, the total of 84 bets gave 54 winners for a flat bet profit of,

Out = 1764

In = 1944

Profit = + 180

P



Posts: 1,314



Logged Offline

Reply: 4 - 15



Mr. Johnson

June 26, 2009, 12:51pm



report

New Member



Posts: 116

It will not be profitable in the long term. You can't beat the statistics. There are no exploits in the mathematical system of Roulette. All systems will fail in the long term. Most will fail in the short term.

Play for fun and forget about systems. Just stop playing when your luck is bad and play hard when you're hot. And keep your winnings.

There are exactly two kinds of Roulette players: Those who believe in systems, and those who are NOT ignorant of the mathematical realities of the game.

CJ



Logged Offline

Reply: 5 - 15



Bombus

June 26, 2009, 4:15pm



report



Hi.

I just did done a 2000 spin test, flat betting only twice for each attack.

There were 216 attack points.

For 432 bets of 1 unit each.

For 274 wins.

Hit rate = 63.43%

Total outlay = 9072 units

Total return = 9864 units

Flat bet profit = 792 units.

Wowzers!

I think I will keep testing this with only 2 flat bets made at each trigger point.



Logged Offline

Reply: 6 - 15



Bombus

June 26, 2009, 6:25pm



report

The easiest money is
the hardest to get

Administrator Group

P



Posts: 1,314



The easiest money is
the hardest to get

Administrator Group

P



Posts: 1,314

Quoted from **Mr. Johnson**

It will not be profitable in the long term. You can't beat the statistics. There are no exploits in the mathematical system of Roulette. All systems will fail in the long term. Most will fail in the short term.

Yes, yes, yes, yes, and yes.

Quoted from **Mr. Johnson**

Play for fun and forget about systems.

No. Systems can be profitable for good periods. Forget about 4 spazillion spins, etc.

They are lots of fun to mess around with, and while you play, you keep learning more and more about the game until you are confident to play and profit without the use of systems.

So systems do have their place in the overall scheme of things, especially good ones that can turn an occasional profit flat betting.

Cheers.


Logged **Offline**

Reply: 7 - 15



Mr. Johnson

June 27, 2009, 11:18am



New Member



Posts: 116

But any system that works in the short term can't be relied on to work for your next session. I have definite rules I play by, and sometimes they change according to what's working for me on that specific session, but I wouldn't call them systems.

I was out last week on a gambling boat and I tried to just hit the dozen that wasn't being hit the least. It was a miserable failure. But I did gather full data on every spin and did retroactive analysis on it.

IF I'd played that night by picking the dozen based on which dozen is dominating over the last three spins (three spin moving average), then I'd have won 26 out of 49 spins. But applying the same rule to other sessions that I've recorded would have resulted in poorer than average performance in the vast majority of those sessions.

The biggest wins I've ever made I made on the dozens and on pure guesses without even bother to record the spin results. Just "I feel like the first 12 is the place for this bet" and then pick again by feel, next spin. Once in a blue moon I get a very strong feeling about a specific number, and I sometimes place a bet directly on that number. It's won often enough that I'll still do that. Having 875 dollars pushed at you for a single 25

dollar bet is admittedly quite cool. It's enough that if it happens now, I'll just cash out and I'm done for the night. I'm not greedy and would happily take such a quick payoff, any time. That's a week's wages for me! But, strong feelings about specific numbers don't come often for me. I've tried playing on the numbers, in free play on various online roulette games, and it would appear that by that method, I average considerably less than the anticipated one win in 38 spins. So that's not the way for me to play.

The only "system" that has any merit for me, in the long term, is to do a five spin trial at a wheel, playing dozen bets. If I get no action in those five bets, I pick up and go find another wheel that DOES give me some action in the first five spins. My experience shows that this is USUALLY a pretty good indicator of whether or not that wheel's going to be good for me. If I don't get some action fast, I don't get action on that wheel no matter how long I play at it. I'm struggling just to keep from losing quickly. But when the first five spins go well, my experiences are generally pretty positive, for a while.

Mostly, my method is based entirely on taking profits and never putting them back into play. Once I double my starting stake, (typically 100 dollars, sometimes 200), I put half back in my wallet and play on the winnings. If I double it again, I skim half and keep playing. Sometimes I'll elect to let the pile grow a little more so I can support escalating the bet structure. I'm really at my happiest when I'm able to afford bets of 100 dollars on the dozens. My "rule" for bets vs. money on the table is 10 percent. I'll bet up to 10 percent of the chips I've got on the table for any single bet. If I've got 1000 in front of me, it allows 100 dollar bets. But I don't get to this level most of the time. It's nice when I do, because any time you ever see me with 1000 on the table, you can guarantee that I've already tucked away at least 600 to 1000 dollars in my wallet so I'm having a fantastic night.

CJ

 **Logged** **Offline**

Reply: 8 - 15

28Black

June 29, 2009, 3:56am

 **report**

Guest User

Quoted from **Bombus**

Yes, yes, yes, yes, and yes.

No. Systems can be profitable for good periods. Forget about 4 spazillion spins, etc.

They are lots of fun to mess around with, and while you play, you keep learning more and more about the game until you are confident to play and profit without the use of systems.


So systems do have their place in the overall scheme of things, esp

pecially good ones that can turn an occasional profit flat betting.

Cheers.

I agree with Bombus on this. A lot of people will say that a system is no good if it doesn't hold up for 10,000+ spins, etc. I've yet to see any system that holds up for that many spins. After a high enough spin count, I think any system will end up in the red. I've always believed in creating systems that produce a nice profit in 50-100 spins. That is the average length of one of my "strings". 2-4 "strings" makes up a "session". After all, I don't know of anyone that could sit down and play a session of 10,000+ spins at one time. Hit and run, that's my theory. Nice system Bombus!

 Logged



Reply: 9 - 15



Mr. Johnson

June 29, 2009, 11:59am



New Member



OK, if I were to accept the idea that a specific system may work for me tonight when I go worship at the wheel for a couple hours, tell me WHICH one of those systems is the one to pick tonight.

Posts: 116

Give me the spin results from your last 20 sessions, as 20 separate reports. I'll go through every one of them, analyze the data, and come up with a system that would have had you rolling in money if you follow the system exactly.

Odds are that out of 20 sessions, you'd need ten separate systems to choose from to make each session profitable.

And you would never have known which system to use before you started playing.

As I mentioned earlier, the last time I went to play, IF I had played the dozens based on the average hits on the dozens in the last three spins, I would have won 26 out of 49 spins. At 2:1 odds. At just a flat 10 dollar bet per spin, it would have been a nice night.

But that's the only time where the 3 spin moving average (referenced to dozens) would have yielded substantial profits based on the spin histories that I've checked it against.

Other times, simply staying on a specific dozen all night long would have been profitable. Other times, hitting the dozens in a 1-2-3-1-2-3-1-2-3 repeating progression would have been profitable.

I don't question that it's possible for a "system" to be profitable in the short term, but I do state categorically that NO system is reliable in the long term and you don't know which short term system will work tonight, either. It's all GUESSWORK. Period.

You want to win? Sure. Here's how you do it: Buy a casino. 🤑 But otherwise, play small and see if you've got any luck. If you do, keep playing but save your winnings. If you're having no luck, just walk away and try again another day, but always play only with money you can afford to spend on recreational activities.

That's it. It's that simple.

The hardest part of all is having the discipline to walk away with your winnings, especially when your winning streak hasn't obviously ended.

One night in Vegas, I went to Caesar's Palace, sat down at a Roulette table with a 10 dollar minimum, laid down 100 dollars, and told the dealer that as soon as I double this, I'm gone.

Seven spins later, playing dozens, I'd made 110 dollars. I tipped ten to the dealer and cashed out. He said to me, "Very few people have the discipline you do, sir. I see people play their last penny almost every day and I don't like to see that. It's a pleasure to see someone play who actually can walk away with a reasonable profit."

Playing conservatively like this put me ahead by 770 dollars in 3 nights in Vegas, trying seven wheels and finding two that were worthless, but the other five worked for me. I'd cash out at anywhere between 100 and 200 dollars profit. I don't need more than that. Though I've had massive winnings on some runs, over 3000 dollars more than once, I'm happy with less.

CJ


 **Logged** Offline

Reply: 10 - 15



Bombus

June 29, 2009, 1:31pm



The easiest money is
the hardest to get

Administrator Group

P



Posts: 1,314

Quoted from **Mr. Johnson**

Give me the spin results from your last 20 sessions, as 20 separate reports. I'll go through every one of them, analyze the data, and come up with a system that would have had you rolling in money if you follow the system exactly.

Odds are that out of 20 sessions, you'd need ten separate systems to choose from to make each session profitable.

And you would never have known which system to use before you started playing.

Not very often can any player using any strategy win 20 straight sessions.

The idea is to stay in profit over the entire 20 sessions. You don't have to win them all to achieve that.

As for supplying you with lots of results, anyone can reverse engineer spectacular systems to beat the given spin sample. That's just silly.

Well thought out systems are multi layered, compromise a bet selection process, and a money management formula. They also can allow for backing off, or going virtual when times are tough, or increased ferocity when times are good.


The obvious sensible behaviours like only betting what you can afford to lose, don't play and drink, finish your game and go, no placing mug bets when you're on the job, etc, etc, apply equally to all players whether they use a system or not.


 **Logged** **Offline**

Reply: 11 - 15

 **Proofreaders**
2000

June 30, 2009, 4:24am

 **report**



I like the premise behind *21-Gun* that is dealer signature must be present in order to win. However, what if dealer signature isn't present. (At Dublinbet and others, I've seen the ball do some strange things, especially when no physical bettors are present laying chips.)

*Bottom line, could this system win against a skilled spinner?--Even with the progression)

Senior Member



Posts: 557

 **Logged** **Offline**

Reply: 12 - 15

 **Mr. Johnson**

June 30, 2009, 10:08am

 **report**

New Member



Bombus, I state categorically that I do not believe in systems for either long term or short term wagering. They perform no better than randomized betting.

Posts: 116

I do believe in strategies, however. Managing your money, conserving when you're losing, conserving more when you're winning, knowing when to give up and knowing when your luck has run its course and it's time to cash out and take it home.

Quoted Text

Well thought out systems are multi layered, compromise a bet selection process, and a money management formula. They also can allow for backing off, or going virtual when times are tough, or increased ferocity when times are good.

I'd agree with this statement, but it's totally applicable if you remove any specific bet selection criteria and you replace it with "bet at random", because it's the strategy, not the betting system, that makes the difference.

The thing that makes "systems" a popular topic is that TRENDS can and do happen. I'm not averse to using them myself. In fact, I DO use them. I limit my on-table analysis to seeing how often the first, second, and third 12s are hitting, a

nd if one's hitting a lot more than the others, I'll probably be betting there. If one's not hitting at all, I'll probably NOT be betting there. But the problem with trend-based betting is that you never know when the trend is over until the spin history reveals it.

One thing you can be sure of is that there is always some noise in any truly random system. This noise shows as trends and (in the short term) uneven distribution of results. If you were to show me a documented spin history of a wheel that, after 380 spins (on a double zero wheel) the results were exactly ten hits on each of the 38 numbers, I'd say that wheel was rigged solid. No noise in any chaotic system over a short historical period is almost positive proof of outside influence.

It's that noise that makes any given system work...SOMETIMES. But statistically speaking, any system that works today and brings you a 5 percent advantage will, at another time it's put into play, put you at a five percent DISadvantage. It WILL all even out, in the long term. This applies to individual strategies as well as raw spin data.

I play a bit (free play only) at some online casinos, like Golden. The 777.com Roulette game is rigged solid in free play. I've seen it pay wins to me many times when I had not won. Golden seems to be more fair but I wonder...just for fun I staked out the entire middle column and took notes. Though this is just short of a third of the table, I averaged a win every seven spins for over an hour. That's not what you'd expect out of a truly random random number generator system.

CJ

 **Logged** **Offline**

Reply: 13 - 15

mr j

June 30, 2009, 11:09am

 **report**

Guest User

"The idea is to stay in profit over the entire 20 sessions. You don't have to win them all to achieve that." >>> Well said and very true Bombus. Walk out with a "decent" profit, direct, to the point. Ken

 **Logged**


 **email**


Reply: 14 - 15

2 Pages [1](#) [2](#) [»](#) [All](#)

[Recommend Thread](#)

 **printthread**

 [Roulette Forum](#) | [www.RouletteForum.net](#) > [Main Message Boards](#) > [Roulette Forum](#) | [Free Roulette Systems, Tips and Strategies](#) > **'The 21 Gun Salute' a system.**

[Roulette Forum](#) | [Free Roulette Systems, Tips and Strategies](#) 

Thread Rating

There is currently no rating for this thread

[Rate Topic](#) 

[Rate Thread](#)

